

- Club standards to resort back to previous standards

I propose that the club standards resort back to the previous standards as the new ones are simply too unobtainable to be realistic.

- Proposed by Grant Wooler

- Members to earn 'volunteer points' to be eligible for race series

I propose that members wishing to receive a medal for any club series; be that the Championship, Hills are Alive or Summer Series, are required to achieve at least 10 volunteer points in the same calendar year. I am fully aware that many members give up their personal time to assist and help out and that our club events would not be as successful as they are if this were not the case. I envisage that this will have little impact on the majority of members who already do this and much much more.

Volunteer points would be awarded in the following manner:

1. Member of the committee - 10 points.
2. member of the race sub-committee - 10 points.
3. Thursday coach - 10 points.
4. Cross Country organiser - 10 points.
5. Media Reporter - 10 points.
6. Organise a in-club race (Yahoo, Lark in the Dark etc) - 10 points.
7. Volunteer at a club organised race, for example Brig Bash or Tay Ten - 8 points.
8. Volunteer to assist at any of the in-club races, for example Yahoo, Lark in the Dark, Target Zero etc. - 5 points.
9. Volunteer for meet and greet rota - 3 points.
10. Volunteer for any parkrun takeover - 3 points.

This list is not exhausted and can be amended by the committee at any time.

My proposal does not preclude anyone from running in any races, simply that if someone wishes to receive a medal for that year they must achieve the required points during that year.

- Proposed by Dave Sinclair

- Change in the constitution that Committee are flexible to change membership fee throughout the year, instead of yearly AGM

I propose that the committee be given the authority to increase the membership fee if necessary, by a limited amount, of say £5, without recourse to AGM approval. This will enable effective budgeting and limit the exposure of the club to changes in external costs such as Scottish Athletics Fees.

- Proposed by Paul Hammersley

- Constitution change to include line about third-party fees in membership

Change the below wording in the constitution to include an additional line that the PRR membership fee does not include the third-party charges from entry central.

17 The membership subscription shall be recommended by the Board of Trustees and agreed upon by way of a simple majority vote of the members 6 at an AGM and will be payable on commencement of Membership. Fees cover the period 1st November to 31st October.

17 The membership subscription shall be recommended by the Board of Trustees and agreed upon by way of a simple majority vote of the members 6 at an AGM and will be payable on commencement of Membership. **Membership fee will not include any 3rd fees (eg Entry Central) and these must be paid by the member.** Fees cover the period 1st November to 31st October.

- Proposed by Dougie

- Changes to Hills Are Alive points system

### **Hills Are Alive Points System**

The points system for the Hills Are Alive series has come in for criticism this year, mainly because there are so many male runners taking part in the Kinnoull Hill Race and the system isn't designed for there being more than ten club runners of the same gender in the same race.

It is great that so many club members are taking part in the Kinnoull Hill Race, and in some of the other races in the series, so it would make sense to adopt a new scoring system that can cope with greater numbers of runners.

As the person that proposed the current system at a previous AGM, I would like to start the debate by proposing two alternative scoring systems.

The current system, which we have used for several seasons, was taken from Formula One motor racing. The scoring system is based on the following points being allocated from 1<sup>st</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> positions: 25, 18, 15, 12, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 1.

To take account of the number of men running the Kinnoull Hill Race, my first suggestion would allow for up to 25 runners of the same gender to score points in any given race.

### Suggestion 1

1 <sup>st</sup>	100	10 <sup>th</sup>	30	19 <sup>th</sup>	7
2 <sup>nd</sup>	80	11 <sup>th</sup>	25	20 <sup>th</sup>	6
3 <sup>rd</sup>	70	12 <sup>th</sup>	20	21 <sup>st</sup>	5
4 <sup>th</sup>	62	13 <sup>th</sup>	18	22 <sup>nd</sup>	4
5 <sup>th</sup>	55	14 <sup>th</sup>	16	23 <sup>rd</sup>	3
6 <sup>th</sup>	50	15 <sup>th</sup>	14	24 <sup>th</sup>	2
7 <sup>th</sup>	45	16 <sup>th</sup>	12	25 <sup>th</sup>	1
8 <sup>th</sup>	40	17 <sup>th</sup>	10		
9 <sup>th</sup>	35	18 <sup>th</sup>	8		

With this system I have tried to keep the emphasis on rewarding the runners with the higher placings, especially the first PRR to finish, so that a balance is achieved between high placings and regular attendance at races.

### Suggestion 2 (Summer Series)

Alternatively, the second suggestion is that the points-scoring system used in the Summer Series could be adopted, so that points are awarded on a descending sequence of 50, 49, 48, 47 and so on. This system works on the basis of counting five results from six races (or six from seven), thereby allowing a runner to discount their worst result or not be penalised for missing one race.

There are pros and cons with each system in terms of rewarding speed and attendance, although either system could lead to an exciting finale at the final race of the season.

I believe that either system would provide a fair way of allocating points and we would futureproof the system by allocating points for at least 25 runners of the same gender in the same race.

I have produced a series of tables to show the positions of the first six male runners in this year's Hills Are Alive races, and shown the points allocated under the current system, then how they would have worked out under my suggestion 1 and how the Summer Series system would have worked out.

I have a passion for hill racing and want to see a successful and competitive Hills Are Alive series. I would therefore like to put these two suggestions to the membership at the AGM in an effort to find a points-scoring system that can win the support of the membership and provide the club with a basis for healthy competition between runners over the coming seasons.

Mike Dales

25<sup>th</sup> October 2019

	Ronnie	Neil	Mike	Dave	Jimmy	Stewart
<b>2019 positions</b>						
Bishop Hill	1	2	5	4		3
Norman's Law	1		2			
Kinnoull Hill	9	6	13	11	4	7
Glas Tulaichean		2	5	4		3
Gargunnoch	1	2	4	3		
Maddy Moss	2	3	4		1	

	Ronnie	Neil	Mike	Dave	Jimmy	Stewart
<b>2019 Current</b>						
Bishop Hill	25	18	10	12		15
Norman's Law	25		18			
Kinnoull Hill	2	8	1	1	12	6
Glas Tulaichean		18	10	12		15
Gargunnoch	25	18	12	15		
Maddy Moss	18	15	12		25	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>POSITION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>

	Ronnie	Neil	Mike	Dave	Jimmy	Stewart
<b>Suggestion 1</b>						
Bishop Hill	100	80	55	62		70
Norman's Law	100		80			
Kinnoull Hill	35	50	18	25	62	45
Glas Tulaichean		80	55	62		70
Gargunnoch	100	80	62	70		
Maddy Moss	80	70	62		100	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>POSITION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>

	Ronnie	Neil	Mike	Dave	Jimmy	Stewart
<b>Summer Series</b>						
Bishop Hill	50	49	46	47		48
Norman's Law	50		49			
Kinnoull Hill	42	45	38	40	47	44
Glas Tulaichean		49	46	47		48
Gargunnoch	50	49	47	48		
Maddy Moss	49	48	47		50	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>TOTAL 5 races</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>POSITION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>